


The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte DAVID R. HANSEN

Application No. 09/729,302



ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO THE EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 1, 2006. An in-depth review has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Appellant filed an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) received at the USPTO on March 12, 2001. A review of the application has determined that the Examiner did not properly consider the IDS.

Application No. 09/729,302

According to the **Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP)**

§ 609(C)(2) (Rev. 1, Feb. 2000) which states in-part:

Examiners must consider **all** citations submitted in conformance with the rules and this section, and their initials when placed adjacent to the considered citations on the list or in the boxes provided on a form PTO-1449 Those citations not considered by the examiner will have a line drawn through the citation and any citations considered will have the examiner's initials adjacent thereto . . .

. . . .

. . . . If a statement fails to comply with requirements as discussed in this section for an item of information, a line should be drawn through the citation to show that it has not been considered. The other items of information listed that **do** comply with the rules and this section **will** be considered by the examiner and **will** be appropriately initialed [emphasis added].

APPEAL BRIEF

Further review of the application reveals that the Appeal Brief filed on July 20, 2005 does not comply with the rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states in-part:

(a)(1) Appellant must file a brief under this section within two months from the date of filing the notice of appeal under § 41.31.

.

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

.

(ix) Evidence appendix. An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) Related proceedings appendix. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

The following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed July 20, 2005:

Evidence Appendix as stated in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix);

and

Related Proceedings Appendix as stated in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

Application No. 09/729,302

It is required that a supplemental Appeal Brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR §§ 41.37(c)(1)(ix) and (c)(1)(x).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner:

(1) for proper consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement received on March 12, 2001, by either initialing the reference, or by drawing a line through the reference not considered;

(2) to notify Appellants in writing of consideration;

(3) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on July 20, 2005, defective;


(4) to notify Appellants to file a supplemental Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR §§ 41.37(c)(1)(ix) and (c)(1)(x); and

Application No. 09/729,302

(5) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By:


DALE M. SHAW
Deputy Chief Appeal Administrator
(703) 308-9797

PATENT LEGAL STAFF
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
343 STATE STREET
ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201

DMS/hh